Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREAT



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 16517/me/th	Preliminary Examination Report (Form PC1/IPEA/410)			
International application No. International filing of		(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/DE2003/002374	15 July 2003 (1	5.07.2003)	19 October 2002 (19.10.2002)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC B63B 3/00				
Applicant JOS L. MEYER GMBH				
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 				
70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of sheets.				
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
I Basis of the report				
II Priority				
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of invention				
Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
VI Certain documents cited				
VII Certain defects in the international application				
VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	n of this report	
26 March 2004 (26.03.2004)		21	October 2004 (21.10.2004)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized officer		
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.		



International application

Basis of the report 1.

The basis of international preliminary examination report is the application as originally filed.

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ٧. industrial applicability

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claim references).